UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,321	07/28/2003	David Delaney	SKEL-007	6585
24353	7590 12/14/2006		EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			JONES, DAMERON LEVEST	
1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			1618	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	10/629,321	DELANEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. L. Jones	1618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
• •	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE A MONTH	(0) OD TUBER (00) DAVO	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirg rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/28/	06	•	
· = ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		reacution as to the marits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
·	A parto Quayro, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.	
Disposition of Claims	·		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 25-30</u> is/are pending in the a	* *		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 25-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			
application from the International Bureau		J	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte	
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application	
	·,		

Application/Control Number: 10/629,321 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendment filed 9/28/06 wherein

claim 24 is canceled and claim 30 is added.

Note: Claims 1-23 and 25-30 are pending.

RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S AMENDMENT/ARGUMENTS

2. The Applicant's arguments and/or amendment filed 9/28/06 to the rejection of

claims 1-23 and 25-29 made by the Examiner under 35 USC 103 have been fully

considered and deemed non-persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

103 Rejection

The rejection of claims 1-23, 25-29, and newly added claim 30 under 35 USC 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Wenz (WO 2004/050131) in view of Constantz et al (US Patent

No. 6,334,891) and Constantz et al (US Patent No. 6,719,993) is MAINTAINED for

reasons of record in the office action mailed 6/30/06 and those set forth below.

Applicant's arguments may be summarized as the instant invention is

distinguished over the prior art of record because the prior art, unlike the instant

invention, discloses that the contrast agent is eliminated/leaked out of the calcium

phosphate compound.

Applicant's arguments have been considered. However, the arguments are not

persuasive because both Applicant and the cited prior art are directed to a product, use

of the product, and method of making the product (a cement) wherein the product

comprises a setting fluid and dry reactants comprising a calcium source and a

Art Unit: 1618

phosphate source. Applicant asserts that the primary references, Wenz discloses that the water-soluble contrast agent, the iodide compound is leaked out of and eliminated from the product. While Applicant's assessment of the prior art may be true, the claims do not set forth any conditions to ensure that the water-soluble contrast agent remain incorporated in the calcium phosphate compound. Thus, the claims as written do not require that the contrast agent remain permanently incorporated. As a result, whether the contrast agent of the prior art remains incorporated for 1 hour, 2 days, 4 years, etc. is unknown.

It is respectfully suggested that Applicant submit some type of evidence (i.e., a declaration) to the effect that the contrast agent of the prior art remains permanently incorporated into the calcium phosphate product and/or amend the claims such that the ratio of the setting fluid and the dry reactants result in a product wherein the contrast agent remains incorporated (this would be provided that Applicant has evidence (i.e., support of the instant disclosure) to such teachings). In other words, it is respectfully suggested that Applicant review the instant application and determine what, if any, limitations that could be incorporated into the claims to distinguish the claims over the cited prior art. Otherwise, it is the Examiner's position that both the prior art and the instant invention disclose overlapping inventions.

Note: It is duly noted that Applicant has added claim 30 which is directed to the contrast agent being present in an amount ranging from about 10 to about 35% by weight. However, Wenz, page 5, paragraph [0017], discloses that the amount of the radiopacity enhancing additive (i.e., contrast agent), depending on the type of the

Application/Control Number: 10/629,321 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

additive and the radiopacity enhancing effect on the hardened calcium containing phosphate cement, ranges between at least 0.5 percent to about 25 percent by weight. Thus, the range set forth in newly added claim 30 is encompassed by the prior art of record.

COMMENTS/NOTES

- 3. Applicant is respectfully requested to replace 'abut' with 'about' in claim 30, line
- 2.
- 4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. L. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-0617. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:45 a.m. - 3:15 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1618

December 11, 2006